UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998,898	11/30/2001	Shawn P. Delany	21756-013300	4155
	7590 08/01/200 AND TOWNSEND AN	EXAMINER		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER 8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELMI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/998,898	DELANY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Salad Abdullahi	2157		

	Salau Abuullatii	2137	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	r).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-5, 7-18, 20-24, 26-31, and 33-39</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
	/Salad Abdullahi/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2157	
	• , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant alleges "Dobbins does not teach or suggest, alone or in combination with Schneider, a plurality of policies for self-subscribing to and self-unsubscribing from a group. Rather, Dobbins describes allowing a user to select content packages and update personal information and preferences. The Applicants do not agree that Dobbins description of allowing a user to select content packages in any way teaches or suggests policies for self- subscribing to and self-unsubscribing from a group as recited in the pending claims. However, assuming for the sake of argument such a reading of Dobbins, neither Schneider no Dobbins, alone or in combination, teach or suggest updating an attribute of an identity profile for the group based on such a policy.

Examiner respectfully disagrees because Dobbins discloses a group policy management policy which enables subscribers to self-subscribe to and self-unsubscribe from a group through the web portal which provides self-service mechanisms for the subscribers. Dobbin's system facilitates managing group membership depending on the subscriber's characteristics, or preferences of a specific content resources,. For example the portal is personalized to the preferences of the subscriber. The preferences are recorded in DOE 80 along with the policy configurations for the subscriber. The portal is an accurate reflection of the policies that are used by switch 20. Conversely, when the subscriber alters the subscriber preferences via the portal, the switch policies are also altered. Furthermore, Subscriber self-service is provided to allow a subscriber to change, on the subscriber's own, the services to which the subscriber can gain access. Subscriber self-service is accomplished by providing a Web page that is customized for a subscriber to indicate the services to which the subscriber has already subscribed, and the services to which the subscriber can optionally subscribe, is created dynamically based on the information in the subscriber's profile.

In Dobbins subscribers are bound to Service Bundles. Subscribers can also be logically grouped into Subscriber Groups, which can also be bound to one or more Service Bundles. Any subscriber that resides within a Subscriber Group automatically gets access to the Service Bundles from that Subscriber Group, which significantly reduces the amount of work required by a Network Administrator. For example, if a Subscriber Group contains several thousand subscribers, the Administrator applies Service Bundles to only one location, rather than executing several thousand individual operations. Thus, Dobbins teaches defining a policy for self-subscribing to or self-unsubscribing from a service group [0102]

Applicant further alleges "Liu also does not teach or suggest, alone or in combination with the other references, updating an attribute of an identity profile for the group, i.e., based on application of the policy for self-subscription and/or self-un-subscription. Rather, Liu describes updating a profile for a user to indicate the group memberships of a user for tracking purposes, not updating an attribute of an identity profile of the actual group based on application of the policy for self- subscription and/or self-un-subscription"

Examiner respectfully disagrees, because Lui discloses an internet user group system for managing user groups, wherein adding said first entity to said first group as a static member comprises updating an attribute of an identity profile for the first group to include the first entity (see col. 36, lines 45 to col. 37, line 10)..